

For A Small Entity

Attorney Docket No. SG-1 DIV CON

Applicants : Joshua D. Spodek et al.
Application No. : 10/820,370
Confirmation No. : 7671
Filed : April 7, 2004
For : APPARATUS FOR DISPLAYING IMAGES TO VIEWERS IN MOTION
Group Art Unit : 3611
Examiner : Cassandra H. Davis

New York, New York 10020
March 11, 2005

Mail Stop AMENDMENT
Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Sir:

Transmitted herewith: [] a Preliminary Amendment;
[X] a Reply To Office Action; [] a Terminal Disclaimer;
[] a Petition for Extension of Time; and [] a Submission of
Formal Drawings and ___ sheets of formal drawings to be filed in
the above-identified patent application.

FEE FOR ADDITIONAL CLAIMS

[X] A fee for additional claims is not required.

[] A fee for additional claims is required.

The additional fee has been calculated as shown below:

	CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR		PRESENT EXTRA		RATE		ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	25	-	25*	=	0	x	\$25	=	\$ 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	7	-	7**	=	0	x	\$200	=	\$ 0
FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM						+	\$180	=	\$ 0
								TOTAL	\$ 0

* If less than 20, insert 20.

** If less than 3, insert 3.

- ☐ A check in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the fee for additional claims is transmitted herewith.
- ☐ A check in the amount of \$_____ in payment of the statutory disclaimer filing fee specified in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d) is transmitted herewith.
- ☐ Please charge \$_____ to Deposit Account No. 06-1075 in payment of the fee for additional claims. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.
- ☒ Please charge payment of any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or credit any overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

EXTENSION FEE

- ☐ The following extension fee is applicable to the Reply filed herewith: ☐ \$60.00 extension fee for response within first month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); ☐ \$225.00 extension fee for response within second month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); ☐ \$510.00 extension fee for response within third month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); ☐ \$795.00 extension fee for response within fourth month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a); ☐ \$1,080.00 extension fee for response within fifth month pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).
- ☐ A check in the amount of ☐ \$60.00; ☐ \$225.00; ☐ \$510.00; ☐ \$795.00; ☐ \$1,080.00 in payment of the extension fee is transmitted herewith.
- ☐ Please charge the ☐ \$60.00; ☐ \$225.00; ☐ \$510.00; ☐ \$795.00; ☐ \$1,080.00 extension fee to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.
- ☒ Please charge payment of any additional extension fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 in connection with the paper(s) transmitted herewith, or credit any overpayment of same, to Deposit Account No. 06-1075. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is transmitted herewith.

I hereby certify that this
Correspondence is being
deposited with the U.S.
Postal Service as First
Class Mail in an envelope
Addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Andrew Shive 3/11/05
Andrew Shive
Signature of Person Signing

Garry J. Tuma
Garry J. Tuma
Registration No. 40,210
Attorney for Applicants

FISH & NEAVE IP Group
ROPES & GRAY LLP
Customer No. 1473
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020-1105
(212) 596-9000

1 Fw



PATENTS
SG-1 DIV CON

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
PATENT APPLICATION

Applicants : Joshua D. Spodek et al.
Application No. : 10/820,370
Confirmation No. : 7671
Filed : April 7, 2004
For : APPARATUS FOR DISPLAYING IMAGES TO
VIEWERS IN MOTION
Group Art Unit : 3611
Examiner : Cassandra H. Davis

New York, New York 10020
March 11, 2005

Mail Stop AMENDMENT
Hon. Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

Applicants hereby reply to the Office Action mailed February 28, 2005, which did not address the merits of the application, but required an election of species.

AMENDMENTS TO THE SPECIFICATION begin on page 2 of this Reply;
and

REMARKS begin on page 3.